

United States Patent and Trademark Office

TO THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY O

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/767,867	01/29/2004	Damon H. DeHart	82162	4117
	7590 03/26/2007	EXAMINER		
KRIEGSMAN & KRIEGSMAN 665 Franklin Street			KOTINI, PAVITRA	
Framingham, M	IA 01702	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MOI	NTHS	03/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/767,867	DEHART, DAMON H.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Pavitra Kotini	3731			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA sisions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•				
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ja This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	•			
Dispositi	on of Claims		•			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) <u>1-63</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11-63</u> is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.				
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	epted or b) objected to by the lead of the lead of the lead in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 8/29/05, 1/29/04	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-10, 32-41, 54, 55, 59, 60, drawn to a lancet, classified in class
 606, subclass 181.
- II. Claims 11-31, 42-53, 56-58, 61, 63, drawn to a method of manufacturing, classified in class 29, subclass 284.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group I and II are related as product made and process of making. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make a materially different product such as a scalpel or a suturing needle.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/767,867 Page 3

Art Unit: 3731

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct

species: A. The species of figures 1(a)-(d)

- B. The species of figures 3(a)-(d)
- C. The species of figures 4(a)-(d)
- D. The species of figures 5(a)-(d)
- E. The species of figures 6(a)-(d)
- F. The species of figures 7(a)-(d)
- G. The species of figures 8(a)-(d)
- H. The species of figures 9(a)-(e)

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/767,867 Page 4

Art Unit: 3731

During a telephone conversation with Attorney Weinstein on March 5, 2007 a provisional election was made **without** traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, Species A, claims 1-10. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 11-63 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lum et al. (US-2001/0027328).

Lum discloses a lancet device comprising:

Regarding **claim 1**, (a) a seamless unitary member (20) which is hollowed along at least a portion of its length (fig. 2), said unitary member including a first end (base of 20), a second end (top of 20), and a longitudinal axis (2A), (b) wherein the first end of said unitary member is shaped to include first and second sharpened tips (22).

Regarding **claim 2**, said seamless unitary member is in the form of a cylindrical tube (fig. 2 and 3).

Application/Control Number: 10/767,867

Art Unit: 3731

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lum et al. (US-2001/0027328) in view of Freeman et al. (US-2003/0083686).

Lum discloses (a) a unitary member (20) which is hollowed along at least a portion of its length (fig. 2), said unitary member including a first end (base of 20) and a second end (top of 20), wherein the first end of said unitary member includes first and second sharpened tips (fig. 2), said unitary member is in the form of a cylindrical tube (figs. 2 and 3), wherein said unitary member inherently includes a longitudinal axis, a lateral axis, a vertical axis, a horizontal plane and a vertical plane.

Lum fails to discloses first and second surfaces defining the tips.

However, Freeman teaches (b) first and second ground surfaces (112) which at least partially define the tip, wherein each of said first and second ground surfaces is a planar surface and each of said first and second ground surfaces is a single angle ground surface that extends from the horizontal plane at an acute angle relative to the longitudinal axis and approximately 180 degrees about the longitudinal axis, and wherein said first and second ground surfaces mirror one another about the horizontal plane (figs. 14, 112).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention to modify the first and second tips at the first end disclosed by Art Unit: 3731

Lum to include first and second ground surfaces defining the tips as taught by Freeman. Such a modification of changing the tip geometry would provide the benefit of reducing skin tear, penetration force, and pain (para.0157).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. V.D. De Luca (US- 3308822) discloses in figure 11, a needle tip with first and second ground surfaces that are mirror images.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pavitra Kotini whose telephone number is 571-272-0624. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30am to 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

Art Unit: 3731

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

P. Kotini AU 3731 3/7/07

> ANHTUANT. NGUYEN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER